

1 **WO**

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Robert William Leighton,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 Charles L Ryan, et al.,

13 Respondents.  
14

No. CV-18-00058-TUC-JGZ (LAB)

**ORDER**

15  
16 Pending before the Court is Petitioner's Motion for Certificate of Appealability  
17 (Doc. 24). For the following reasons, the Court will deny Petitioner's Motion.


18 By order dated November 13, 2018, the Court adopted in part Magistrate Judge  
19 Bowman's Report and Recommendation dismissing the Petition as untimely. (Doc. 20 at  
20 2.) The Court did not address the alternative grounds for dismissal. (*Id.*) The Court did  
21 deny a certificate of appealability. (*Id.* at 3–4.)

22 Petitioner requests a certificate of appealability with regard to the Magistrate  
23 Judge's alternative findings. Petitioner asserts that "[t]he United States District Court  
24 Judge accepted the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate without comment and  
25 offered no further substantive analysis of the petition." (Doc. 24 at 2.) The Court will  
26 deny the Motion. Because this Court agreed with the Magistrate Judge that the Petition  
27 was untimely filed, it was not necessary to address the merits of the untimely petition as  
28 those issues were moot. (*See* Doc. 20 at 2.) Further, the Court previously addressed and

1 denied issuance of a certificate of appealability with regard to its ruling on timeliness.  
2 Accordingly,

3 IT IS ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Certificate of Appealability is  
4 DENIED as moot.

5 Dated this 4th day of January, 2019.

6  
7  
8   
9 Honorable Jennifer G. Zipps  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28